Berkeley Ballot Audit Report Election: City of Hanahan

Audit Date: 10:54:37, Fri Mar 31, 2017

	Precinct				L30A	
Nber iVo	Audit Name Opt Man	 Delta	Total   Comr	Opt ments	iVo	Flash
0020	Hanahan 1		165	0	165	0
165	0 0	0				
0021	Hanahan 2		73	0	73	0
73	0 0	0				
0022	Hanahan 3	0	128	0	128	0
128	0 0	0	CF	0	C.F.	0
0023 65	Hanahan 4 O O	0	65	0	65	0
0068	Hanahan 5	O	163	0	163	0
163	0 0	0	100	O	105	O
0076	Foster Creek 1	_	18	0	18	0
18	0 0	0				
0077	Foster Creek 2		221	0	221	0
221	0 0	0				
0078	Foster Creek 3		129	0	129	0
129	0 0	0	1	0	-	0
0080	St. James 0 0	0	1	0	1	0
1 Proging	ts Total:	0	963	0	963	0
	0 0	0	903	O	903	O
303	0	O				
0750	Absentee		27	18	9	0
9	18 0	0				
0800	Emergency		2	2	0	0
0	2 0	0				
0850	Failsafe		0	0	0	0
0	0 0	0	1	4		0
	Provisional 1 0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1 0 Precincts Total:	0	30	21	9	0
9		0	30	21	9	U
,	21 0	O				
Grand To	otal:		993	21	972	0
972		0				

NOTE: Any manual entries shown on this report are supported by the Manual Entry  $\log$  (EL68).

# Auditor's Comments:

Total number of iVotronic ballots cast containg no votes: 3 The following precincts have no ballots cast in them: Failsafe This audit is complete and has no exceptions.

Status of ERM Reports EL30A is marked Official EL45A is marked Official

EL30A iVo to EL155 to EL152 Cross Check and Cancelled Ballots.

+----+

EL30A Ivo Ballots	EL155 Ballots	EL152 Ballots	_
972   Delta from EL30A 	972     0     Delta from EL155	972   0   0	_
•	Cast By Voter     Cast By Poll Wkr     Blank Cast by PW	972   0   0	_
	Cancelled Ballots	17 	-
	Wrong Ballot     Voter Left AB	0	(AB = After
ballot selected by po	' '	<b>○</b> 1	(IID III CCI
ballot selected by po	Voter Left BB   oll worker.)	1	(BB = Before
	Voter Request	0	
	Printer Problem	3	
	Terminal Problem	4	
-	Other Reason   ++	9   +	-

Number of audio (ADA) events in this election: 0

Note: The following machines(s) have audit records but no ballots were cast on them: 5121657

Because they do not have ballots cast, they cannot be matched to a precinct. This is not

an issue as long as the EL30A Ivo Ballots, the EL155 Ballots and the EL152 Ballots match

in the table immdiately above.

How to read this report.

This is the ballot level report. It compares the number of ballots cast in the tabulation report, the EL30A with the number of ballots cast in the audit data.

The values read from the EL30A report are reported in four categories; Total, Optical (Opt),

iVotronic (iVo) and Flash. None of these values on each line are calculated but parsed from

the actual report. The total lines are the calculated sums of the individual precince line values.

The Opt totals are the total number of ballots cast on paper ballots that were scanned into the optical

scanner and transferred to the tabulation computer via memory stick or ZipDisk (depending on the type

of device, M100 or M650 used to scan the ballots.) Optical totals should exist only in some but not all

of the Virtual Precincts at the bottom of the table.

The iVo totals are the results gathered from the PEBs (Personal Electronic Ballot) which are used

to open and close an iVotronic voting machine and, after the polls have closed, gather the ballots

cast for transmission to the tabulation system.

The Flash totals are the totals that are read from the flash cards in the rare event that the ballots

cannot be copied from the machine to the PEB or from the PEB to the tabulating machine.

The values on the Audit side come from the iVotronic audit data that is read from the flash cards as

part of the audit process. That process combines the individual machine data into two master audit

files, the EL155 Vote image log and the EL152 iVotronic event log.

The EL155 contains a record of all the votes cast on a ballot. The vote images indicate the machine

Occunting the number of asterisks in a precint we get the number of ballots cast in that precinct. That

number should always match the number in the iVo column on the EL30A side of the report.

Optical ballots do not have electonically readable audit files. So if the audit data iVotronic total

does not match the  ${\tt EL30A}$  Total value, the auditor is prompted to read the paper report from the optical

scanner and enter the total ballots cast into the auditing program.

If the sum of the audit iVotronic and Optical ballots cast still do not match the  ${\tt EL30A}$  total value, then

the audit software reads the EL68 Manual Entry log for any changes made in that precinct to either the  ${\rm iVo}$ 

or Opt totals by the CEC staff. It displays any manual entries to the auditor for inclusion into the totals.

If accepted by the auditor these entries are automatically added to the Man column from the Manual Entry log.

Finally, the audit software calculates the Delta value which should be zero unless the sum of the iVo,

the Opt and the Man do not match the EL30A Total column. If the Delta is greater than zero then audit data

is missing. A comment will be placed on that line in the report indicating missing audit data. If the Delta

value is less than zero then there are more ballots in the audit data than there are in the tabulated data  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{A}}$ 

and a comment will be placed on that line in the report.

Wherever possible, the audit system will attempt to indicate where the difference is by displaying the

iVotronic machine numbers and the number of ballots cast on that machine that exist in the audit data.

In the case of missing tabulated data, it might be possible to identify a machine that has the same number

of ballots cast as the delta value and that number and ballot count will be highlited with a < sign.

If there is a non zero Delta value there will be an entry by the auditor explaining the variance.

Finally, there is a  ${\tt EL30A}$  iVo to  ${\tt EL155}$  to  ${\tt EL152}$  Cross Check and Cancelled Ballots table in the report

This table should indicate that the nubmer ballots cast in the  ${\tt EL30A}$  match the numbers in the  ${\tt EL155}$  and

the  ${\tt EL152}$  report. Then there are some statistics pulled from the  ${\tt EL152}$  repor that indicate how many

ballots were cast by the voter and the number cast by the poll worker. Lastly the number of ballots that were cancelled and the reason they were cancelled. It should be noted that the reason cancelled is chosen from a pull down menu presented to the poll worker when a ballot is cancelled, there is no way to validate the poll worker's choice.

Berkeley EL30A45A Comparison Report

Election: City of Hanahan

Audit Date: 10:55:35, Fri Mar 31, 2017

+		EL30A			+			E	L45A-		
offi	ce						Car	ndidate			Party
	Tot	Opt	iVo	Flash	1	Tot	(	Opt	iVo	Flash	Delta
-+					+-						
-+											
C:+	Council						C (	Tamphal	1		
_	Council			0	000			Campbel		0	0
	1	202		0	203		1	-		0	0
City	Council						Ror	nnie Du	ncan		
45	2	43	C		45		2	43		0	0
City	Council						Kev	in Hed	lgpeth		
330	10	320		0	330		10	320		0	0
City	Council						Chi	ristie	Rainwa	ater	
_	7	403		0	410		7	403		0	0
City	Council						Wr	ite-In			
2	1	1	0		2	1		1	0		0

How to read this report.

This is  ${\tt EL30A}$   ${\tt EL45A}$  Crosscheck Report. It sums each candidates vote totals in each precinct in

the EL30A Precinct Detail Report and compares it to the EL45A Election Summary Report that  $\,$ 

contains the tabulated total for each candidate in the county.

The values read from the EL30A report are reported in four categories; Total, Optical (Opt),

iVotronic (iVo) and Flash. None of these values on each line are calculated but parsed from

the actual report. The total lines are the calculated sums of the individual precince line values.

The Opt totals are the total number of votes cast on paper ballots that were scanned into the optical

scanner and transferred to the tabulation computer via memory stick or  ${\tt ZipDisk}$  (depending on the type

of device, M100 or M650 used to scan the ballots.) Optical totals should exist only in some but not all

of the Virtual Precincts at the bottom of the table.

The iVo totals are the results gathered from the PEBs (Personal Electronic Ballot) which are used

to open and close an iVotronic voting machine and, after the polls have closed, gather the ballots

cast for transmission to the tabulation system.

The Flash totals are the totals that are read from the flash cards in the rare event that the ballots

cannot be copied from the machine to the PEB or from the PEB to the tabulating machine.

The values read from the  ${\tt EL45A}$  report are reported in same four categories; Total, Optical (Opt),

iVotronic (iVo) and Flash as the EL30A. Like the EL30A they are parsed from the actual  $\rm EL45A$  report.

The summary candidate totals are compared against the calculated sums for each candidate from, the  ${\tt EL30A}$ 

report. Each of the values in each line on the EL30A side must match the same value on the EL45A side  $\,$ 

and the Delta value must be zero.

Berkeley	EL152/El155	Votes	Comparison	Report	
-1 '			6 . 1 . 1		

This	report	is	а	comparison	of	the	ballots	cast	in	the	two	audit	files.

+EL152	+EL155		++
Machine Ballots	Precinct(s)	Ballots	
1	+ +		
+	+		++

# Berkeley Office Non-Match Report

Array of unique EL30A non matches 0: |City Council|

Array of unique EL155 non matches 0: |MUN0003 City Council|

Berkeley PEB Exceptions Report

Election: City of Hanahan

Audit Date: 10:54:37, Fri Mar 31, 2017

WARNING: Precincts listed in this report have used more than one PEB to

open or close

iVotronic Machines. Inspect all the precinct materials closely,

especially the

Precinct Polling Results Tape to be sure that you have collected

PEB data

from all the iVotronic machines assigned to that precinct.

Precinct PEBs Used Comments

Berkeley PEB Exceptions Report

Election: City of Hanahan Election date: 03/28/2017

Audit Date: 10:54:37, Fri Mar 31, 2017

-	EB Open		Cl PEB	Close Time	Exception
Type Preci	inct - Ballo	ts Cast			
F10606F	000007	00/04/0017	11 50 10	22227	02/00/0017
5126965	229807	02/24/2017	11:52:12	229807	03/28/2017
19:01:43	>>Open Dat	e<< Abser	itee - 5		
5126965	229807	02/24/2017	11:52:12	229807	03/28/2017
19:01:43	Open Time	Absentee -	5		
5128497	229807	02/24/2017	11:53:14	229807	03/28/2017
19:05:06	>>Open Dat	e<< Abser	ntee - 4		
5128497	229807	02/24/2017	11:53:14	229807	03/28/2017
19:05:06	Open Time	Absentee -	4		

The following machines(s) have audit records but no ballots were cast on them: 5121657

Because they do not have ballots cast, they cannot be matched to a precinct.

How to read this report.

This is the PEB Exceptions Report. It checks for the following conditions in the iVotronic Event log;

- 1: A machine that was opened and closed by different PEBs
- 2: A Machine that was not opened on Election Date could be either an absentee or test machine
- 3: A Machine that was not closed on Election Date could have had problem closing
- 4: A Machine that was not opened by 7 am note most Absentee machines will show up here
  - 5: A Machine that was closed before 7pm polls close at 7 PM
  - 6: A Machine that was not closed ever.
  - 7: A machine that has no ballots cast on it.

Each of the events above detected are reported on a separate line, each line contains the following information:

iVotronic machine number, Opening PEB Number, Open Time, Closing PEB Number, Closing Time, the Exception Type and the Precinct and the Ballots Cast on that machine.

Absentee and Failsafe precint machines are opened during the middle of the day several days before the election date of 03/28/2017. So it is normal for these machines to appear on this report with Open Date and Open Time exceptions.

Berkeley PEB Open/Close Report

Election: City of Hanahan

Audit Date: 10:54:37, Fri Mar 31, 2017

Precinct	Machine	PEB Used to Open	PEB Used to Close
Hanahan 1	5117507	144729	144729
Hanahan 1	5121886	144729	144729
Hanahan 1	5129920	144729	144729
Hanahan 2	5121488	155752	155752
Hanahan 2	5126540	155752	155752
Hanahan 3	5120162	145903	145903
Hanahan 3	5122475	145903	145903
Hanahan 3	5128711	145903	145903
Hanahan 3	5137393	145903	145903
Hanahan 4	5125824	197181	197181
Hanahan 4	5127747	197181	197181
Hanahan 5	5120162	145903	145903
Hanahan 5	5122475	145903	145903
Hanahan 5	5128711	145903	145903
Hanahan 5	5137393	145903	145903
Foster Creek 1	5119326	142634	142634
Foster Creek 1	5121204	142634	142634
Foster Creek 1	5132314	142634	142634
Foster Creek 2	5119326	142634	142634
Foster Creek 2	5121204	142634	142634
Foster Creek 2	5132314	142634	142634
Foster Creek 3	5130401	119509	119509
Foster Creek 3	5130714	119509	119509
St. James	5123072	229798	229798
Absentee	5126965	229807	229807
Absentee	5128497	229807	229807

The following precinct(s) have no ballots cast in them: Failsafe Note: if there are no ballots cast in a precinct the machines in that precinct

cannot be identified so the machines in these precinct(s) will not appear in this report.

The following machines(s) have no ballots cast on them: 5121657

Berkeley PEB Use Report Election: City of Hanahan

Audit Date: 10:54:37, Fri Mar 31, 2017

Precinct	PEBs Used	Comments
Hanahan 1	144729	
Hanahan 2	155752	
Hanahan 3	145903	
Hanahan 4	197181	
Hanahan 5	145903	
Foster Creek 1	142634	
Foster Creek 2	142634	
Foster Creek 3	119509	
St. James	229798	
Absentee	229807	

### 10 precincts processed

The following precinct(s) have no ballots cast in them: Failsafe Note: if there are no ballots cast in a precinct the machines in that precinct

cannot be identified so these precinct(s) will not appear in this report.

How to read this report.

This is the PEB Used report. It lists the precints found in the Audit data and lists all

the PEBs that were used by the poll workers to open and close the iVotronic machines in that. precinct.

If more than one PEB is used to open and close all the iVotronic machines in a precinct,

then the County Election Commission must read ALL the PEBs used in that precinct to  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}$ 

assure that they have collected all the ballots from that precinct. A county whose report shows

a precinct that has machines open or closed by more than one PEB should double check the Ballot

Audit Report against the iVotronic paper tapes and the Poll Book to make sure that all the

ballots have been collected and tabulated.

Berkeley Vote Level Audit Report

Election: City of Hanahan Audit Date: 10:55:27, Fri Mar 31, 2017

		EL	30A		Audit	
Office		Optical	i Vo	Flagh	Candidate   iVo Delt	Party
					IVO DEIC	
	Hanaha					
					################	############
			#########	#######	######################################	
32	ouncil 0	32	0	32	C Campbell	
	ouncil		O	32	Ronnie Duncan	
26	0	26	0	26		
City C	ouncil				Kevin Hedgpeth	
41	0	41	0	41	0	
-	ouncil				Christie Rainwat	er
66	0	66	0	66	•	
	ouncil		0 +	NI - 7 1 - 1 - 1	Write-In	£
0	0	0	0 ^	NO AUGIT	Data expected or	Iouna
0021	Hanaha	n 2				
			##########	########	###################	############
######	######	############	##########	########		
City C	ouncil				C Campbell	
14	0		0	14	0	
_	ouncil		_		Ronnie Duncan	
1	_	1	0	1	0	
City C	ouncil 0	13	0	1 2	Kevin Hedgpeth 0	
	ouncil	_	U	13	Christie Rainwat	or
45	0	45	0	45		CI
	ouncil		· ·	10	Write-In	
0	0	0	0 *	No Audit	Data expected or	found
0022	Hanaha	n 3				
					################	############
		##########	#########	########	###############	
55	ouncil 0		0	55	C Campbell 0	
	ouncil		U	33	Ronnie Duncan	
2	0	2	0	2	0	
	ouncil		-		Kevin Hedgpeth	
17	0		0	17	0	
_	ouncil				Christie Rainwat	er
52	0	~ —	0	52		
_	ouncil		•		Write-In	
0	0	0	0 *	No Audit	Data expected or	found
0022	Hanaha	- 1				
			##########	########	################	############
					~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	<i></i>
City C					C Campbell	
12	0		0	12	<del>-</del>	
City C	ouncil				Ronnie Duncan	
1	0	1	0	1	0	

```
City Council
                     Kevin Hedapeth
         27 0
                    27 0
City Council
                    Christie Rainwater
              0
         24
                    24 0
City Council
                     Write-In
         0
             0 * No Audit Data expected or found
0 0
0068 Hanahan 5
City Council
                     C Campbell
         55 0
55 0
                    55 0
City Council
                     Ronnie Duncan
         8 0
8 0
                    8 0
City Council
                    Kevin Hedgpeth
         19 0
19 0
                    19 0
City Council
                     Christie Rainwater
              0
         81
81 0
City Council
                     Write-In
         0
             0 * No Audit Data expected or found
  0
0076 Foster Creek 1
City Council
                    C Campbell
3 0
City Council
                     Ronnie Duncan
0 0
         0 0 * No Audit Data expected or found
City Council
                     Kevin Hedgpeth
10 0
         10 0
                    10 0
City Council
                    Christie Rainwater
         5 0
5 0
                    5 0
City Council
                     Write-In
         0 0 * No Audit Data expected or found
  0
0077 Foster Creek 2
City Council
                    C Campbell
15 0
         15 0
                    15 0
City Council
                    Ronnie Duncan
         4 0
4 0
                    4 0
City Council
                    Kevin Hedgpeth
          123 0
123 0
                    123 0
City Council
                    Christie Rainwater
         78 0
                    78 0
78 0
City Council
                    Write-In
         1 0
1 0
                    1 0
0078 Foster Creek 3
City Council
                    C Campbell
              0
         14
                    14
                     Ronnie Duncan
City Council
         0 0
City Council
                     Kevin Hedgpeth
          69 0
69 0
                    69 0
                    Christie Rainwater
City Council
         46 0
46 0
                    46 0
```

City	Council				Write-In
0	0	0	0 * No	Audit	Data expected or found
0800	St. James				
####	+ # # # # # # # # # # # #	+ # # # # # # # # #	#########	######	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
####	+ # # # # # # # # # # # #	+ # # # # # # # # #	#########	######	++++++
City	Council				C Campbell
1	0	1	0	1	0
City	Council				Ronnie Duncan
0	0	0	0 * No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council				Kevin Hedgpeth
0	0	0	0 * No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council				Christie Rainwater
0	0	0	0 * No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council				Write-In
0	0	0	0 * No	Audit	Data expected or found
0750	Absentee				
####	+ # # # # # # # # # # # #	+ # # # # # # # # #	#########	######	+ # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
####	+ # # # # # # # # # # # #	+ # # # # # # # # #	#########	######	+ # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
City	Council				C Campbell
2	1	1	0	1	0
City	Council				Ronnie Duncan
3	2	1	0	1	0
City	Council				Kevin Hedgpeth
9	8	1	0	1	0
City	Council				Christie Rainwater
12	6	6	0	6	0
City	Council				Write-In
1	1	0	0 * Al	l votes	s cast for this candidate
* in	this precier	nt are ont	ical		

- \* in this precient are optical.
- \* No Audit Data for optical.

		: # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
City Council		C Campbell
0 0	0	0 * No Audit Data expected or found
City Council		Ronnie Duncan
0 0	0	0 * No Audit Data expected or found
City Council		Kevin Hedgpeth
2 2	0	0 * All votes cast for this candidate

- \* in this precient are optical.
- \* No Audit Data for optical.

0	Council 0 Council	0	Christie Rainwater 0 * No Audit Data expected or found Write-In	
0	0	0	0 * No Audit Data expected or found	
######			######################################	

City Council C Campbell 0 0 \* No Audit Data expected or found

City	Council						Ronnie Duncan
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Kevin Hedgpeth
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Christie Rainwater
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Write-In
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
0	0	0 0	0	*	No	Audit	Christie Rainwater Data expected or found Write-In

#### 0900 Provisional

###############################	#######################
##############################	########################

City	Council		C Campbell
0	0	0	0 * No Audit Data expected or found
City	Council		Ronnie Duncan
0	0	0	0 * No Audit Data expected or found
City	Council		Kevin Hedgpeth
0	0	0	0 * No Audit Data expected or found
City	Council		Christie Rainwater
1	1	0	0 * All votes cast for this candidate

<sup>\*</sup> in this precient are optical.

City Council Write-In 0 0 0 0 \* No Audit Data expected or found There was no unmatched audit data

How to read this report.

This is the vote level report. It compares the number of votes cast for each candidate in the tabulation report with the number of ballots cast in the audit data for each candidates in each precinct.

The tabulated values as read from the EL30A report are reported in four categories; Total, Optical (Opt),

iVotronic (iVo) and Flash. None of these values on each line are calculated but parsed from  $\,$ 

the actual report. In the  ${\tt EL30A}$  report all  ${\tt Write-in}$  votes are added together and reported as one

candidate name - Write-in. The EL30A does not report individual Write-in candidates.

The Opt totals are the total number of votes cast on paper ballots that were scanned into the optical

scanner and transferred to the tabulation computer via memory stick or ZipDisk (depending on the type

of device, M100 or M650 used to scan the ballots.) Optical totals should exist only in some but not all

of the Virtual Precincts at the bottom of the table.

The iVo totals are the results gathered from the PEBs (Personal Electronic Ballot) which are used

to open and close an iVotronic voting machine and, after the polls have closed, gather the ballots  $\,$ 

cast for transmission to the tabulation system.

<sup>\*</sup> No Audit Data for optical.

The Flash totals are the totals that are read from the flash cards in the rare event that the ballots

cannot be copied from the machine to the PEB or from the PEB to the tabulating machine.

The values on the Audit side come from the iVotronic audit data that is read from the flash cards as

part of the audit process. That process combines the individual machine data into a master audit  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ 

file, the EL155 Vote image log.

The EL155 contains a record of all the votes cast on a ballot. The vote images indicate the machine

Occurring the number of times a candidate name for a contest appears in a precint we get the number of

votes cast in that precinct for that candidates. That number should always match the number of votes cast

for that candidate in that contest in the iVo column on the EL30A side of the report.

Optical ballots do not have electonically readable audit files. So if the audit data iVotronic total votes

does not match the  ${\tt EL30A}$  iVo value one of two things will occur. The first is if the iVotronic tabulated

is zero and the Total tabulated votes match the tabulated Optical votes the following comment is made in

the Audit side of the report; All votes cast for this candidate in this precient are optical No Audit

Data for optical, or secondly, if the iVotronic total is not zero, a notation indicating which data Audit data

In the event a candidate or the combined Write-in candidates did not receive any votes in a precinct

the EL30A will report a zero vote count. If there are no votes for this candidate in a precinct in the audit data,

the following comment will be placed into the audit report by the auditing software; No Audit Data expected or found.

Finaly the audit software will search the audit data for any votes that were not found on the EL30A report.

If it finds some, it will report those vote totals by contest, candidate name and precinct. if it does not

find any unmatched audit data it will report; There was no unmatched audit data

# Berkeley Vote Level Audit Exception Report

Ι			ber / Name					Audit
(		 ce	EL3UA					Candidate Party
	-		Optical	iVo				iVo Delta  Comments
-								
-								
(	~:+.,	Council						Write-In
	) ) )	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
								111
	_	Council						Write-In
(	)	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
(	City	Council						Write-In
	_	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
	_	Council		0	ىك.	27.	70 -1' 1	Write-In
(	)	0	0	Ü	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
(	City	Council						Write-In
	_	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
		Council	0	0	*	Mo	71121+	Ronnie Duncan Data expected or found
•	•	Council		O		NO		Write-In
(		0	0	0	*	No		Data expected or found
								-
,	7.1	0						Dannia Dunana
(	_	Council 0	0	0	*	Nο	Audit	Ronnie Duncan Data expected or found
(	-	Council		O		110		Write-In
	_	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
	~ ' .	~ ! ]						
	_	Council 0	0	0	*	Nο	7udi+	Ronnie Duncan Data expected or found
		Council	O	O		NO	Audit	Kevin Hedgpeth
(	)	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
(	City	Council						Christie Rainwater
	)	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
	Jity N	Council 0	0	0	*	No	Nudi+	Write-In
(	J	U	U	U		INO	Auuit	Data expected or found
(	City	Council						Write-In
-	1	1	0	0	*	All	l vote:	s cast for this candidate

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  in this precient are optical.

<sup>\*</sup> No Audit Data for optical.

City	Council		C Campbell
0	0	0	0 * No Audit Data expected or found
City	Council		Ronnie Duncan
0	0	0	0 * No Audit Data expected or found
City	Council		Kevin Hedgpeth
2	2	0	0 * All votes cast for this candidate

<sup>\*</sup> in this precient are optical.

\* No Audit Data for optical.

City	Council						Christie Rainwater
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Write-In
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						C Campbell
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Ronnie Duncan
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Kevin Hedgpeth
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Christie Rainwater
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Write-In
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						C Campbell
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Ronnie Duncan
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Kevin Hedgpeth
0	0	0	0	*	No	Audit	Data expected or found
City	Council						Christie Rainwater
1	1	0	0	*	Al	l vote:	s cast for this candidate

<sup>\*</sup> in this precient are optical.

City Council Write-In 0 0 0 0 \* No Audit Data expected or found There was no unmatched audit data

How to read this report.

precinct.

cast for transmission to the tabulation system.

file, the EL155 Vote image log.

found.

<sup>\*</sup> No Audit Data for optical.